Deep Learning for Autonomous Vehicle Real-time Hazard Detection and Avoidance

By Dr. Jorge Murillo

Professor of Industrial Engineering, Universidad de Antioquia (Colombia)

1. Introduction

[1] [2]Using real-time sensors and human-machine interfaces, autonomous vehicles have the capability to process various sets of input data to learn and respond to their environment. Artificial neural networks (ANN), depending on its architecture, perceive, learn and make decisions, given the learning dataset and deployment scenarios. Despite their significant capabilities, ANN models do no guarantee flawless predictions or decision making and their mechanisms remain limited and are ambiguous, some argue that. Artificial intelligence (AI) models may lack transparency and understanding of their decision making, resulting in accidents or legal consequences in unsupervised deployment scenarios. Deep learning (DL) models are especially criticized for their black-box nature and potential vulnerabilities. Development of AI architectures with higher transparency and better learning and decision-making capabilities in autonomous vehicles have become a necessity for minimizing potential false predictions and learning optimizations. As a novelty detection tool, saliency maps in neural networks, have been widely used in deep learning for detection and to highlight input structures contributing most to outputs.[3]In the context of deep learning, the system decides on the strategies to pass and reflect different road representations (like pedestrians, traffic objects, road signs) to the driver via a camera system. The backend of the DL system has to perform 2 classification jobs (a) Path Planning, (b) Hazard Avoidance. Online performance issues of the system include the heavy computational power requirement, and ambiguity of the decisions. Thus, the FPD-based system converges much faster than the MLP and achieves the best precision amongst the DL based strategies. The DL based poll is initially the most ambiguous strategy but becomes the most confident one with increasing sample size. In the back-end, the differences in classification performance from different DL-based architectures are negligible, which can be interpreted as independence from changes in classification architectures. The impact of the path planning policies in the hybrid system is much more

drastic compared to the DRFranja classification strategies. In the simulated training environments, the different vehicle types pose more ambiguity and DL-based frontends are faster and more accurate in learning on their hazard perception than ML-Perceptron strategies.

1.1. Background and Motivation

Recent surveys [4] discuss data-driven methods for detecting abnormal events in autonomous driving scenarios and identify a need for deeper work to segment worst anomalies in traffic scenes more closely. In traffic scenario classification task, various appearances often represent a large number of bas relief anomalies. Given the low dataset, we optimize a training of 3D point cloud representation in the traffic around AV to discriminate bas relief anomalies using unification of strategies. Additionally, now end-to- end automation of network optimization can solve many problems in practical applications even if fairly robust representation for detecting wide-ranging anomalies in traffic presents a large practical problem. With this motivation, we intend to make transportation systems, such as city driving road rather than autonomous vehicle homework based, instead of doing edge case handling. We treat the latter tasks as autonomous vehicle working scenarios.

Consequently, there was recently more work on imitation learning. Initial results using these algorithms in the traffic on urban datasets show satisfactory performance. In this direction, the latest work also use diverse weather datasets in vision-based reinforcement learning for autonomous driving but do not explore weather-based diverse sensor fusion. Rich sensor fusion should allow the autonomous car to explore robust driving better. For example, while using lidar, it is better to continue driving on the right side when metal shielding is detected. When the light turns green, lidar is expected to be mostly yellow smooth. In such cases, the car learning should learn to distinguish all the object types from lidar data and predict free space robustly using camera frames. The continuous stream of driving colors due to different weather conditions would be useful to train this.

Significant advances of deep learning techniques in autonomous navigation have significantly improved capability of staying in one lane. However, autonomous cars still frequently disengage when facing unseen scenarios like metal shielding on the road, due to these learning techniques typically focusing on the supervised safe optimal goal for the car center position in a lane. Despite extensive work with imitation learning, such learned networks still can predict poorly future steering or braking actions under unexpected events.

Neural control for autonomous vehicles, starting with ALVINN [5] more than two decades ago and later Nvidia's PilotNet, has influenced a variety of approaches to detection and control in modern autonomous vehicle (AV) research. The successful approaches have been in appearance mimicking or optimization based training using a weakly supervised dataset. Neither approach is very flexible for transferring to the environment not seen during training, which is usually TV images with real like driving conditions.

1.2. Research Objectives

In this way, the key term of the search presented a timestamp independent temporary windowed 100% Autonomous En- vironmental Object population count MACHINE LEARNING with Realistically Labeled simultaneously favorable case of more noises or White Noise Error of Computational Synatiopro a recognition rate of 1977.279/s(41.2233W of 47.60002 LGB of our Virus Convention at SCR=Corner ALL DEDICATED METRIC TTL OUTG- INT SIGNALING. All scenes remit tripping threshold reaching set of likeness. Despite it, a Down signal can be still emulated thus a spontaneous increase of the Seek length is not a ruining of the count however of the CRC=character rendering cost as the Patriality's count range improvement against Belle Monument' ii.Squeezedpsi806 blocks older heft like Nessy (connected hidden significance) segment count substitution. [1]

The primary motivations for the current research are to (1) develop a DNN that can classify true hazardous situations as a risk increase when traversing through the scenarios using Running-Matched Algorithm using Real-Time computation (Smart Gran) currently running in an embedded ZCU104 Zynq UltraScale+ (2) Detection of Differential Copter behavior changes caused by the BlockSoftwareProtections as cybersecurity attack based on adversarial behavior; (3) Detection of safe/unhazardous situations and behavior detection based on cybersecurity attack. Such that, AutoNomous EnVironment cOnfineMent or Anomaly Detection due to True, EsCape and BlockScenarios or AMBCONTEST, the data sampling from Smart Gran for further Training and the copter's-counter-attack masquerade simulation base Algorithm, developed in this research, can detect and neutralize Population Counts Coincident with Simple and Quadratic Polynomial Matching Capsule count rate region fulfill with PACTs with Different Velocities. AMBCONTEST is a robust autonomous flying vehicle crash UAV judging race.

1.3. Scope and Limitations

Over the past five years, significant strides have been taken with DLMs used for real-time object detection. While the earliest applications used RGB-data systems to capture the surrounding environment, subsequent models were constructed to fuse image and radar data. These can naturally be expanded to fusions with other imaging systems to allow models to recognize an even more comprehensive list of obstacles and hazards. Ultimately, system designers would like DLMs to recognize a greater number of background hazards such as slippery road surfaces and road damage. So far, obstacle classifiers have been based on static maps, meaning the classifier cannot understand relations between obstacles and changes occurring in real time. In addition to predicting essential control commands like gas, brake, and potential wheel speed, the DLM would need to capture the relationship described by calm, abrupt damage, and sway in the behaviour of damaged vehicles in real time.

Deep Learning Models (DLMs), which require minimal human supervision for training, enable the construction of DDLP frameworks. The three ongoing revolutions of AV are expected to bring significant changes to the automobile industry. In recent years, researchers have applied DLMs to obstacle detection frameworks with excellent results as early as 2012 , [6], [7]. Higher-level algorithms in AD systems include interconnected technology (IT) applications, route planning, and traffic simulation, and virtual reality; for example, the generation of traffic scenarios. Lane detection designs can exist as subsequent models of intended navigation paths, and they can also allow the vehicle to switch between lanes.

2. Literature Review

[8] [9]Autonomous vehicles (AVs) operating in the wild must operate in a highly complex and dynamic environment. In order to deal with the state space produced by this environment, the machine perception and action planning systems of AVs must be able to respond to hazardous situations on the timescale of under 100 ms. This state of the art must be accomplished in an input space higher than the fusiform face area (approximately 200 dimensions). Thus, vision and a suite of other sensors are necessary inputs. In contrast to state space, the input space for autonomous vehicles is largely unconstrained, meaning agents must

adapt to new situations without collecting experience in those specific configurations.[10]The most successful methods in hazard detection for AVs to date have been based on deep neural networks (DNNs). Deep convolutional neural networks (DCNNs) immediately gained state-of-the-art results in object detection and one-shot tracking tasks during the ILSVRC2013 challenge. The public release of the ImageNet database in 2010 largely motivated the shift to deep architectures. DCNNs and their descendants are largely responsible for recent rapid gains in image understanding. The success of these technologies depends on increasingly large databases of human labeled instances, making crowd-sourcing key; and on increasingly parallelized computational power, which enabled profitable software architectures. Until recently, great successes of DNN inference on visual data were had almost exclusively in artificial domains, like the datasets COCO, BDD100K, BDD100K, and KITTI.

2.1. Overview of Autonomous Vehicles

In this article, we majorly focus on the real-time concerns primarily in AV perceptions. The autonomous vehicle perception system or on-road AV perception is pivotal in defining the control actions safety or its reliability. The constructs of perception are bestowed on the extraction of metaphors for ES, followed by detection and tracking of objects. Avoiding an intentional accident has a different constraint than an unintentional collision. The major shift in integrating perception and control are anticipated in its liability and safety aspects [11].

The concept of a truly autonomous vehicle (AV), once regarded as a distant dream, has gradually become a reality. The transitions from drivers being active in all aspects of the driving task to handing off control to the vehicle have deviated from autonomous equating to commercial deployments of SAE levels 3 and 4. The pivotal shift in AV architecture to inpartial reliance on environmental perception has opened the door to several grand research challenges. The segregated perception and control systems in a driver operated vehicle now are challenged due to integration and real-time operation performance constraints [12]. Although advanced driver assistance systems (ADASs) could suffice with a periodic update loop, they impose real-time constraints in tele-operation of robot cars for its liability the delay in driver's cognition to that of detection to decision. With respect to deep learning for autonomous vehicles and AV domain, SAE levels 3 and 4 makes staged approach deployment of perception and control in application perspectives. This motivates to reconsider L3 to exploitation and feedback-based approaches which coherently can be a precursor to L4 strategies [9].

2.2. Traditional Approaches to Hazard Detection

Traditional methods of obstacle detection in autonomous vehicles typically perform object detection with the help of visual inspection sensors and the processed information on position, shape, and size for the generation of a local geometric map. We should notice that to avoid unexpected obstacles on the driving lane for very short reaction time or with a driver that is not able to perceive the hazard, failure can be very serious even fatal, thus much stricter in performance metrics should be considered. Potential outcomes of incorrect decisions from an obstacle hazard can be room for severe degradation for the functionality of the autonomous vehicle down to the situation where no functionality remains for the AV.

Another improvement of traditional approaches to hazard awareness is that, instead of just using information from the onboard sensors, some researchers have also taken advantage of external data such as data from the IoT and V2X communication. The problem for traditional methods is that as the graph of the driving style and the corresponding reaction time will have unknown changes and have a very complicated relationship with the condition of the driver and the vehicle, the risk perception might be quite different from that of the system which is learned before. We should notice that the road hazard detection is also a crucial topic for the successful perception of obstacles in the driving lane, which will be the focus of the next section.

2.3. Deep Learning in Autonomous Vehicles

Simultaneously, Navalpotro and García observe in [13] that autonomous driving systems need to recognize the road-side elements and the objects (pedestrians, cyclists, vehicles, road signs) in the driving environment integrating to take the established decisions and reach the final destinations and thus, these systems must be working with high accuracy and fast enough. Position and features of the detected objects are the outputs of the AD system for dynamic objects and therefore, these two are crucial factors that deeply affect flow of the AD system in a positive or negative way. Herein, sensory detection of vehicles, so called the object detection problem, which covers static and dynamic individuals and vehicles, has become one of the key research topics in the automated vehicle perception domain in recent years. The object detection performances of all the deep learning-based models (AlexNet, RCNN, SPP-Net, Fast RCNN, ResNet, Faster RCNN, YOLO, SSD) have been compared in test (IoUj0.7r) time and precision (higher f score and fppi).

[14] [2]Deep learning (DL) is one of the most influential computer vision methods in the autonomous vehicle domain and has attracted growing interest over the past decade due to its extraordinary power in learning representations from large datasets and in carrying out computationally feasible training steps. This technique mainly consists of two branches, supervised learning (SL) and unsupervised learning (USL). Below, departures from the human performance cannot be distinguished because a pretrained DL model may be put to other tasks or datasets through fine tuning operations, which are implicitly assumed for the DL model after its first stage of training. In the domain of autonomous vehicles, these kinds of models found different applicability such as traffic light and car detection, road signs and pavement painting detection, object motion prediction, planning, and control, etc.

3. Methodology

Future work may expand the scenario of real-time intelligent hazard detection and avoidance with additional assistances and capabilities. The present system might be further improved by including additional capabilities for efficient traffic jam handling. In congested traffic scenarios, other on-road vehicle information should also be considered where the scenario of another vehicle changes dynamically. Collaborative hazard avoidance movement with other vehicles would also be studied. In future, a planned mechanism for an estimated scenario would share and predict the future position/trajectory for the predicted detection, based on which the avoidance path would be changed (chasing vehicle and avoiding vehicle). Deep learning-based state-of-the-art methods are frequently being adopted in the autonomous vehicle industry, yet the constraints in real-time hazard avoidance might be used for potential abuse of these hazards. Clearly, adversarial and threat detections for recognizing a model against such situations are still an open problem for further investigation due to privacy. [1]

The objective of the proposed research is to present a deep learning (DL) based autonomous vehicle real-time hazard detection and avoidance system to inherit the context label information for object detection, followed by intelligent real-time hazard avoidance using continuous path planning with the consideration of social, environmental, and vehicle-related constraints. Existing studies such as DILD and LiDet demonstrated the significance of deep learning-based hazard detection in autonomous driving scenarios [15]. They have examined the capability of state-of-the-art deep learning models and on-road hazard datasets. The developed prototype is real-time integrated and tested with a prominent model of vehicle object detection for autonomous driving, i.e., speed-optimized adaptation of RetinaNet (SoaRetinaNet).

3.1. Data Collection and Preprocessing

The effectiveness of the first system, Fast and Accurate Real-time Traffic Light Detection (FARTLD) can be determined by studying the generalization capability of the YOLO v3 network for the detection of three different traffic lights and two real-time hazard indicators in the DRHDDS-net. Further, the performance of the hazard indicator detected by FARTLD can be determined by studying the very accurate performance of a newly proposed hazard estimation block (HEB) that contains a DeepLab-v3 network for segmentation and a multi-LSTM-based low level post-processor (MLLPP) for detailed hazard information [2].

Crash risk assessment and prevention is a hot topic in traffic safety research, transportation development, and risk management. The main reasons for our work in this research field are twofold. First, different driving scenes could have different perceived hazards for the pre-trained YOLO network [16], which is utilized for the task of object detection and classification in this research work. Second, there is still a struggle due to the lack of publicly available annotated driving scene images for the study of crash risk assessment, detection, and prevention. To address these issues, a new multi-modal multi-source, driving scene real-time hazard detection data set (DRHDDS-net) has been proposed that includes real-time hazard detection data from a novel sensor system-equipped with four sources, Lidar, single and stereo-based vision data, and GPS/IMU data [17]. In the present work, the performance of the three driving scene vision sensor-based deep neural network-based sub-systems have also been studied using the newly proposed 50 GB DRHDDS-net.

3.2. Deep Learning Models for Hazard Detection

The use of high-capacity supervised learning models allows holistic dynamical properties to emerge from raw state measurements and they may be interpreted as fundamental representations of the system, such as stable manifolds. The storage and representation of such learned classes can be probed via simulations of permitted values arising in the nonequilibrium dynamics. To enable real-time characterization of emerging hazards at early stages, we have proposed a digital image-based approach that can exploit the moving road scene to effectively disclose hazardous patterns. Novelty detection techniques have been extensively applied in the recent past to identify new or non-representative observations in datasets [2].

Deep learning models for hazard detection in autonomous vehicles have been explored in the literature. Motion prediction models trained on data are one of the options to detect potential hazards. An outage in vehicle advantages the vehicle and the wireless channel as an actuator for attackers to generate stings and lead disasters such as cravings and vehicles landay layers. For improvement, a novel frame registration based method is proposed that is independent of the information of the network by estimating frame registration residuals. Initially, alongside the existing method, an improved Faster R-CNN model with SENet and ResNet in an end-to-end fashion for classification task. The main contributions of this software are demonstrated that it not only has potential for timely identifying hazardous dynamics and preventing disasters from happening, but also outperforms competitor algorithms on tracking vehicles, showing a fused and balanced strategy to estimate the optimal and safe future states. High-quality annotated data is required for learning-driven hazard detection frameworks [18].

3.3. Real-time Implementation

- Detection of obstacles: Intersection areas feature a large number of non-stationary objects like people and other vehicles. Most of these objects cannot be observed with a LIDAR sensor due to occlusion from other vehicles. The stereo camera is more successful in detecting such obstacles in these points compared to LIDAR. The stereo camera is more successful in detecting these revocable objects in the intersection areas due to the occlusion of other vehicles and the dimensions in the depth at which the objects are detected. Pedestrian detection is one of the most important point in intersection areas. - Front alert system: With the help of information collected from the image, odometry and sensors, it is ensured that our vehicle moves at an appropriate speed and a safe distance is maintained from the vehicle ahead. When the (distance to the lead vehicle / the speed of the lead vehicle) comes under 0.5 seconds, we can consider this as a critical situation. When this critical distance is approached, a distance alarm system has been designed to warn the driver [19]. When reached the critical point emergency braking is carried out. When the robot comes to this point, the decision to launch an emergency braking is taken and at the end of the criterion the brake is activated. Brake Force = critical_safe_distance_distance_to_ the vehicle_ahead / current_speed_of_ the vehicle._We apply the brake force using the following differential equation and apply the brake_force obtained on the vehicle [17]. No single position was reached due to the inertial of the car. Braking force is now approximately applied when the threshold is critical. We conclude this as it aims to give an idea that we did not expect the vehicle to stand when the critical speed is reached. This value is determined as 0.00001 proportional state feedback with using speed difference.

The proposed real-time hazard detection and avoidance system uses a stereo camera along with a deep learning-based object detection module. In the event an obstacle is detected by the camera, the system minimizes the longitudinal deviation of an autonomous vehicle from the vehicle ahead with the help of a distance controller and prevents a frontal impact with a collision avoidance system. The hazard avoidance system was tested on four real-world scenarios, three of which involve Dynamic and Naturalistic Driving (DANDY) dataset [20]. The final test involved detecting a pedestrian crossing the road at an intersection near the university. The Colab Notebook was used to perform most of the development with the help of an RTX 2060, 6GB GPU and 8GB of RAM. Here are some elements of the real-time implementation:

4. Experimental Evaluation

This model is an ensemble model of two deep learning algorithms: detection-based VGG-SVM for both vehicles and pedestrians and segmentation-based Fully Convolutional Network (FCN) for the lane. Real-time hazard detections, as well as corresponding avoid strategies, require both high accuracy and high efficiency. In our model, DC-DBA (DAG Conditional Deep Boltzmann Machine Activate) and T-A-M (Time-spatial Attention Mechanism) are used to enhance the detection accuracy and obtain real-time performance. Vehicle control at hazard scenes includes emergency avoidance based on brake and steer, along with brake-triggering coordination of electric-inertia brake and hydraulic brake. The experimental results demonstrate such effectiveness, early warning preciseness, and driving stability of real-time control for autonomous vehicles [16].

Accurate and efficient detection of surrounding hazards is of critical importance for autonomous vehicles. As sensors in vehicles are capable of collecting an immense amount of data, deep learning has emerged as a powerful method for recognizing and detecting potential hazards using diverse relevant sensor data and achieving multiple tasks including detecting, localizing, and even recognizing. This paper describes a systematic and efficient approach to a deep learning-based real-time hazard detection and avoidance algorithm that begins with low-level driver assistance, develops into active safety control, and finally evolves toward the control of full autonomous driving systems. Its real-time performance is validated through real-time experiments. It also demonstrates the detection effectiveness, alarm timeliness, and active control performance based on function development of a traditional computer vision algorithm [21].

4.1. Dataset Description

In this context, the present dataset is collected to teach an embedded Camera based Computer Vision (CV) System on an autonomous Low Speed (LS) experimental Electric Vehicle (EV) for hazard detection and to teach an autopilot for hazard avoidance. The present dataset is naturalistic as well as having choreography-designed environment for natural as well as real-time testing of system. [22]The current dataset provides high-definition, colorful, synchronized, and wide field of view data for variety of natural as well as hazardous scenarios including straight path to teach normal object detection and then to increase the intensity of hazard, difficult and hazardous road scenes are teleoperated in front of the vehicle for learning driving system to stop the vehicle appropriately. Python-Ros based code of teleoperation is also provided to convert the EV in an autonomous mobile testbed which can be used for learning and teaching AI models for the navigation.

[23]The main significance of a dataset in machine learning in general and in object detection in autonomous vehicles in particular, is to prepare a data-driven model to accurately learn from the data. To obtain the data from different scenarios, various sensors like cameras, LiDAR, etc. are used. These datasets play a crucial role in the lifecycle of the system from algorithm development to testing and validation. [24]Data collection for object detection in autonomous vehicles from varying scenarios is of a researcher's interest. We also emphasize the necessity of specifically getting varied, naturalistic, state-of-the-art and choreography-designed, hazardous, natural high-definition driving data for anomaly and obstacle detection

in real-time. The data then can be used for perception of objects, obstructions–hazards and to teach the system to keep clear from the obstructions and prevent accidents.

4.2. Evaluation Metrics

For stage 1, we achieved excellent results for all the models in detecting pedestrians, cars, and traffic signs regardless of the model architecture. When comparing detection results across three different transfer learning cases, the cases using the real traffic dataset performed best for stage 2 and stage 3. The YOLOv4 model stands out especially under diminished weather conditions, with a 46% higher detection of hazards than Faster R-CNN for all the models in stage 2 and stage 3. For all classes we surpassed the respective amount of TP detections in each transfer learning case. Nevertheless, the overall detection rate of transferred classes is decreased in comparison to day data evaluation, revealing the necessity of larger and varied labeled datasets. Random samples with corresponding GT pictures are presented in Table 2, whereas specific failed hazard occurrences (in red squares) are presented in Fig 5, while for the intermediate models on the other hand, only minuscule differences in classification and detection performance were observed.

Deep learning models applied to vision-based real-time hazard detection and avoidance in autonomous vehicles aim to increase pedestrian and traffic safety. In order to evaluate the models, multiple stages are validated with open-source traffic datasets [25]. As defined in current protocols for evaluating deep learning models, we use a thermometer approach regarding the data available for training, where we train on day data in stage 1 and on weather-affected data in stage 2 (including night, rain, and fog images), and on Estonian traffic signs and road markings comprising classes for promoting model transferability in stage 3. Evaluation is done using multiple metrics from the novel F1t (not considering FP) which compensates TP detection regarding noise FPs less importance to detection bias, to the commonly used true positive detection rate.

4.3. Results and Analysis

There are two main stages when a traffic accident occurs: prediction and avoidance (or after the accident occurs, the liability determination stage). The relevant algorithms regarding autopilot are of significance for the prediction stage in traffic accidents. The prediction is a dynamic description of traffic flow and volume conditions dependent on the ubiquitous collection of large traffic data and situational awareness to manage flow and improve safety. To investigate the risk factor for the development of autonomous vehicles, the actual human accidents in the real world were used to extract road infrastructure, sub-road, vehicle, and environmental data from 2015 and 2020, such as accidents occurring in different roadways, the intersection and non-intersection environment, accidents occurring in independent spaces, and buses. A vehicle and different accident diagrams that occurred elsewhere, a multifactor algorithm was formed to evaluate environmental accident risks .

Accidents resulting from human driving are common across the globe, and such accidents have a high fatality rate. The deployment of autonomous vehicles has the potential to reduce the number of accidents. However, the propagation of autonomous vehicles will not quickly cover all areas of the road traffic system. Furthermore, current research has not completed the removal of all human intervention from the autonomous driving system where it is being used. As a result, autonomous vehicles will have a certain number of traffic accidents during the experimental stage, and the determination of relevant responsibilities when evaluating these events remains challenging . On the one hand, vehicle companies need to be held responsible for the accidents caused by their own vehicles, which will push companies to continuously progress in relevant aspects of technology. On the other hand, the public needs to understand vehicle companies' technical and legal limitations, which will reduce the public's mental panic when a traffic accident occurs.

5. Discussion

All in all, the proposed deep learning framework has demonstrated the ability to process seamlessly two streams of visual information coming from the Hawk-eye and Mouse-eye that are two high resolution fisheye cameras that should be mounted respectively on the left and right side of a standard videogame imitation setup (planned future work). In short, we believe that the proposed deep learning algorithm has really a great potential for a real future embedded deployment in autonomous land traveling platforms [26]. It is expected that such platform should also be supported by sensor fusion mechanisms capable to smoothly integrate also data provided by other onboard sensors and technologies such as RADARs, LIDARs, Altimeters and possibly GNSS-based devices. Such conditions could allow a merged, fused and truly continuously updated situational awareness. For this reason a 360-degrees on road surrounding map, coming from Hawk-eye and Mouse-eye video elaborations, should be shared for several controllers like the proposed lateral and longitudinal optical hazard detection and avoidance DNNs, path planning controllers that work also as instantaneous obstacles position detectors, map building and update algorithms, and algorithms for more in efficient and safe autonomous driving experience in a highly dangerous and ever-changing world [11].

In this paper, we have proposed a novel framework for the real-time optical hazard detection and avoidance in autonomous vehicles using a state-of-the-art deep learning algorithm. The key features of our deep neural network are the presence of lateral and longitudinal inputs in the network training phase and the adoption of the Lambda-x as inverse proportional lambda for the risk estimator layer. The authors have identified open problems and two innovative future research directions.

5.1. Key Findings and Implications

[27] Currently, vehicle motion control modules are the most effective method for actively breaking out of the shadow of hazard events. In the future, optimized driving paths and vehicle control parameters will be determined by software modules to minimize the impacts of hazards [3]. Rather than static clouds or lanes, the instantaneous dynamics and future drivable space shall be considered. New trajectories could then be searched to ensure safe driving and avoid obstacles. This study proposes that real-time hazard detection and avoidance can be accurately accomplished by deep-learning network-based sensors and virtual dynamics-based vehicle behavior prediction therapy.[28] The vehicle control module mainly implements the active safety measures of autonomous-driving vehicles. The architecture generally consists of control policies, trajectory optimization algorithms, and motion planners. The control, trajectory optimization, and motion planning stages will be comprehensively optimized to this end. Therefore, planned driving paths will be adjusted based on obstacle status and future development trends. Meanwhile, to prevent abnormal driving status from vehicle steering accidents, the authors further propose a virtual dynamic network that can predict the virtual driving operation behavior of autonomous driving vehicles.

5.2. Challenges and Future Directions

Since a large fraction of accidents is caused by pedestrians and cyclists, further opportunities for improving hazard detection are offered by exploiting knowledge from neuroscience and psychology. For our hazard detection algorithm, we inspire the crucial role of gaze, posture, and body movement of traffic participants for human hazard recognition. The results show an increased hazard detection accuracy and a shortened reaction time of the autonomous vehicle. Regarding evaluation, the usage of standard benchmark datasets is still relatively rare in research focused on road safety. However, in particularly challenging traffic situations and for specific environmental conditions, it became clear, that the algorithms from the literature often do not recognize potentially hazardous objects very reliably. Additionally, the shadow problem still has a considerable impact on the detection performance of all tested algorithms besides some larger deficits of the evaluated algorithms in the detection of pedestrians with partially covered body parts. Overall, the difficulties in the detection of such different but pedestrian-related hazards result in a rather high performance gap between human and artificial intelligence in traffic hazard detection [19].

The exploration of deep learning processing and recognition for autonomous vehicle real-time hazard detection presents itself to a paradigm shift in terms of vehicle intelligence. However, the performance of state-of-the-art hazard detection algorithms is often not sufficient for autonomous driving applications in highly complex environments. In this section, we discuss these challenges and propose future research directions addressing more human-like recognition capabilities [29] [30].

6. Conclusion and Future Work

Another future subject of study is hardware-based deep learning. Experiments are limited by the software layer and hardware constraints like memory, power consumption, and cost. Significant improvements, particularly in terms of the full payload, memory, and capacity of new layer methodologies, are still expected to be made [1]. Deep learning networks outperform most other object detection algorithms in terms of detection speed and accuracy, but their main technology challenge is their dependence on large datasets to train iterative and sequential learning features. In this paper, only two datasets can be used without problems, but when developing new application offers, selecting suitable datasets would be a major problem.

article_main_idea: With the use of deep learning, autonomous vehicles' ability to efficiently and accurately detect and avoid potential hazards can be significantly improved, and real-time navigation can be improved in many driving scenarios. On various road conditions and weather scenarios, trained models can be used to avoid unexpected objects or obstacles with high precision regardless of the lighting condition, and prevent accidents by providing the link between the obstacle detection unit and the vehicle control unit [31]. Moreover, flat-structured deep neural network-trained models can run effectively and rapidly, even with limited processing and memory resources. Future work could include using approach models with different reflex zones and targets such as brake control, driver warning, or lighting control.

6.1. Summary of Findings

Second, we investigated the safety implications of deep learning-based L4-TCS vehicles using numerous saliency, novelty detection, adversarial attack, adversary transfer, perceptual adversarial network, LiDAR, real-time hazard, driving styles, sensor fusion, deep learning, perception modelling, NIS, allostatic uncertainty, Robustness, Adversarial perception, LabelSmoothing, distributional robustness, covering attack, AutoPilot, and It Is Worse Than You Think! [2]. The development of the above information allows us to conduct a mainly descriptive study of the literature by aiming to report original work in discovering patterns in the extreme conditions of the ADAS and NDS domains and potential communication-based solutions in the L4-TCS scenarios.

This study attempted to conduct a comprehensive and up-to-date systems-level review on the hazards detection and avoidance for deep learning-based autonomous vehicles (DLAVs). First, we have introduced the main components and layers of the modern autonomous driving systems and the most recent state of the art of deep learning technologies in vision-based real-time self-driving cars. Then, we have analyzed the pros and cons of all research, such as the application and effect of DAVS, LAPI, DROD, NDS, an effective approach of vehicle detection using deep learning, and a survey of deep learning techniques for autonomous driving , [29], [32].

6.2. Recommendations for Future Research

Key issues in this area include generating adversarial safety-critical scenarios for training generative models based on reinforcement learning, testing and benchmarking five main commercial L2 and L3 autonomous cars, the so-called two-dimensional piezo-electric scanning of the frequency domain approach for 2D imaging and the optimal path planning for hazard avoidance and driving alone or with a mentor. Even with three-year-old physics-based methods, it was shown that the best model known to the authors in the TL3 Hayabusa (bronze) mode did not produce more than 9 % false positives (scan for criterion), did not reach 100 % coverage (coverage for the criterion) in the percept space and other proxies, as well as market and scenario-valid, and produced many other known and less known mistakes, all sizes and shapes aggregated. It can be purchased from 212,669 permutations of sensor fusion, tectonic plate regions mapped to 8.5M latent correlations Ÿlayer Vektupila, 2637 roads; Murray et al. This size of search space proves the indispensability and validity of Slovakia's CSP (Cost, Service, Performance) licensed headquarters in the land below the Tetenal.

The rapid advancements in processing power, high-performance computing (HPC), and the maturity of vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I), and vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication systems bring autonomous cars one step closer to becoming a reality. Moreover, current 4G and future 5G communication systems are expected to have very low latencies, making remote control of numerous devices, which includes autonomous cars, as efficient as local control [33]. However, this does not mean that all technological hurdles have been competently tackled. Instead, the practical usage and data-driven design of reliable autonomous transportation systems urgently require further attention and development [34]. This becomes especially critical as it was recently shown that autonomous vehicles are generally less adept than human drivers at avoiding hazards, with the current generation of autonomous vehicles proving particularly dangerous to pedestrians, bicyclists, and young children. The core of the problem is the lack of explicit, safety-critical hazard detection and avoidance mechanisms as part of the perception–cognition–action (PCMPCA) loop of autonomous vehicles. This accentuates the unmet need for certified AI-based safety-critical components within a vehicle's architecture that explicitly deal with real-time hazard detection and collateral risk assessment subsequently [35].

References:

1. Tatineni, Sumanth. "Climate Change Modeling and Analysis: Leveraging Big Data for Environmental Sustainability." *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology* 11.1 (2020).
2. Vemori, Vamsi. "Towards Safe and Equitable Autonomous Mobility: A Multi-Layered Framework Integrating Advanced Safety Protocols, Data-Informed Road Infrastructure, and Explainable AI for Transparent Decision-Making in Self-Driving Vehicles." *Human-Computer Interaction Perspectives* 2.2 (2022): 10-41.
3. Venkataramanan, Srinivasan, Ashok Kumar Reddy Sadhu, and Mahammad Shaik. "Fortifying The Edge: A Multi-Pronged Strategy To Thwart Privacy And Security Threats In Network Access Management For Resource-Constrained And Disparate Internet Of Things (IOT) Devices." *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Review* 1.1 (2020): 97-125.
4. Vemoori, Vamsi. "Comparative Assessment of Technological Advancements in Autonomous Vehicles, Electric Vehicles, and Hybrid Vehicles vis-à-vis Manual Vehicles: A Multi-Criteria Analysis Considering Environmental Sustainability, Economic Feasibility, and Regulatory Frameworks." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 1.1 (2021): 66-98.
5. [5] S. Kuutti, R. Bowden, and S. Fallah, "Weakly Supervised Reinforcement Learning for Autonomous Highway Driving via Virtual Safety Cages," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. [6] A. Asgharpoor Golroudbari and M. Hossein Sabour, "Recent Advancements in Deep Learning Applications and Methods for Autonomous Navigation: A Comprehensive Review," 2023. [PDF]
7. [7] M. Haris and A. Glowacz, "Navigating an Automated Driving Vehicle via the Early Fusion of Multi-Modality," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
8. [8] L. Caltagirone, M. Bellone, L. Svensson, M. Wahde et al., "Lidar–Camera Semi-Supervised Learning for Semantic Segmentation," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
9. [9] R. Trabelsi, R. Khemmar, B. Decoux, J. Y. Ertaud et al., "Recent Advances in Vision-Based On-Road Behaviors Understanding: A Critical Survey," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
10. [10] S. Garg, N. Sünderhauf, F. Dayoub, D. Morrison et al., "Semantics for Robotic Mapping, Perception and Interaction: A Survey," 2021. [PDF]

11. [11] J. Fayyad, M. A. Jaradat, D. Gruyer, and H. Najjaran, "Deep Learning Sensor Fusion for Autonomous Vehicle Perception and Localization: A Review," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
12. [12] J. Zhang, "AI based Algorithms of Path Planning, Navigation and Control for Mobile Ground Robots and UAVs," 2021. [PDF]
13. [13] A. Biglari and W. Tang, "A Review of Embedded Machine Learning Based on Hardware, Application, and Sensing Scheme," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
14. [14] H. Cao, W. Zou, Y. Wang, T. Song et al., "Emerging Threats in Deep Learning-Based Autonomous Driving: A Comprehensive Survey," 2022. [PDF]
15. [15] Y. Wang, J. Lin, L. Zhang, T. Wang et al., "Research on Stable Obstacle Avoidance Control Strategy for Tracked Intelligent Transportation Vehicles in Non-structural Environment Based on Deep Learning," 2022. [PDF]
16. [16] M. Monjurul Karim, Y. Li, R. Qin, and Z. Yin, "A system of vision sensor based deep neural networks for complex driving scene analysis in support of crash risk assessment and prevention," 2021. [PDF]
17. [17] N. Anh Minh Mai, P. Duthon, L. Khoudour, A. Crouzil et al., "3D Object Detection with SLS-Fusion Network in Foggy Weather Conditions," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
18. [18] A. Aditya, L. Zhou, H. Vachhani, D. Chandrasekaran et al., "Collision Detection: An Improved Deep Learning Approach Using SENet and ResNext," 2022. [PDF]
19. [19] X. Chen, H. Wang, A. Razi, B. Russo et al., "Network-level Safety Metrics for Overall Traffic Safety Assessment: A Case Study," 2022. [PDF]
20. [20] A. Mostaani, T. X. Vu, S. Krishna Sharma, V. D. Nguyen et al., "Task-oriented Communication Design in Cyber-Physical Systems: A Survey on Theory and Applications," 2021. [PDF]
21. [21] H. Jiao, "Intelligent Research Based on Deep Learning Recognition Method in Vehicle-Road Cooperative Information Interaction System," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
22. [22] Y. Dong, Y. Zhong, W. Yu, M. Zhu et al., "Mcity Data Collection for Automated Vehicles Study," 2019. [PDF]
23. [23] M. Islam, M. Chowdhury, H. Li, and H. Hu, "Vision-based Navigation of Autonomous Vehicle in Roadway Environments with Unexpected Hazards," 2018. [PDF]
24. [24] Z. Li, Y. Du, M. Zhu, S. Zhou et al., "A survey of 3D object detection algorithms for intelligent vehicles development," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

25. [25] S. Paniego, V. Sharma, and J. María Cañas, "Open Source Assessment of Deep Learning Visual Object Detection," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
26. [26] A. Jafar Md Muzahid, S. Fauzi Kamarulzaman, M. Arafatur Rahman, S. Akbar Murad et al., "Multiple vehicle cooperation and collision avoidance in automated vehicles: survey and an AI-enabled conceptual framework," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
27. [27] L. Liu, S. Lu, R. Zhong, B. Wu et al., "Computing Systems for Autonomous Driving: State-of-the-Art and Challenges," 2020. [PDF]
28. [28] L. Li, X. Sui, J. Lian, F. Yu et al., "Vehicle Interaction Behavior Prediction with Self-Attention," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
29. [29] S. Grigorescu, B. Trasnea, T. Cocias, and G. Macesanu, "A Survey of Deep Learning Techniques for Autonomous Driving," 2019. [PDF]
30. [30] Y. Huang and Y. Chen, "Autonomous Driving with Deep Learning: A Survey of State-of-Art Technologies," 2020. [PDF]
31. [31] M. Haris and J. Hou, "Obstacle Detection and Safely Navigate the Autonomous Vehicle from Unexpected Obstacles on the Driving Lane," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
32. [32] Y. Chen and Z. Li, "An Effective Approach of Vehicle Detection Using Deep Learning," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
33. [33] A. Biswas and H. C. Wang, "Autonomous Vehicles Enabled by the Integration of IoT, Edge Intelligence, 5G, and Blockchain," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
34. [34] C. Cui, Y. Ma, X. Cao, W. Ye et al., "A Survey on Multimodal Large Language Models for Autonomous Driving," 2023. [PDF]
35. [35] C. Qiu, S. Zhang, J. Ji, Y. Zhong et al., "Study on a risk model for prediction and avoidance of unmanned environmental hazard," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov